

# Cape Fear RPO

## P4.0 Local Input Methodology

October 30, 2015

---

ALLEN SERKIN, AICP, CZO  
DIRECTOR OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES  
CAPE FEAR COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS



# CFRPO Project Score

---

- Every project gets a preliminary score
- Score has 4 components:
  - SPOT Score (*Quantitative*) for tier
  - Division Priority (*Qualitative*) for tier
  - County Priority (*Qualitative*) for tier
  - Project Development (*Qualitative*)

# Division Priority

---

- Division Engineer ranks every project HIGH, MED, LOW, NONE
- Should be roughly equal distribution of each ranking
- HIGH = 100 pts
- MED = 50 pts
- LOW = 25 pts
- NONE = 0 pts
- Projects crossing Division boundaries get proportional points

# County Priority

---

- County RTCC member coordinates with stakeholders, including County RTAC member, municipal RTAC/RTCC members, county transit, etc.
- County RTCC committee ranks every project HIGH, MED, LOW, NONE
- Should be roughly equal distribution of each ranking
- HIGH = 100 pts
- MED = 75 pts
- LOW = 50 pts
- NONE = 0 pts
- Points crossing county boundaries get proportional points

# Project Development

---

- “Bonus” for projects in development
- RPO Staff assign points as follows:

completed feasibility study = 5 pts

adopted corridor plan or other project-specific plan = 5 pts

preliminary engineering = 5 pts

project in development/merger process = 5 pts

project funded in current STIP = 10 pts

right-of-way attainment or not required = 10 pts

# CFRPO Project Score

---

- Mimics STI formula

CFRPO Regional Impact tier score

= (70% x SPOT Score for tier)  
+ (15% x Division Priority Score for tier)  
+ (15% x County Priority Score for tier)  
+ (Project Development Points)

CFRPO Division Needs tier score

= (50% x SPOT Score for tier)  
+ (25% x Division Priority Score for tier)  
+ (25% x County Priority Score for tier)  
+ (Project Development Points)

# CFRPO Process

---

- Separate Regional Impact/Division Needs point assignments
- “Any project that crosses the RPO boundary will be eligible for local input points in excess of the percentage of the project within the RPO boundary, up to 100 points, if the adjacent MPO/RPO provides less than their full share of points.”
- “The Cape Fear RPO will endeavor to assign points shared by adjacent MPO/RPOs for projects crossing the RPO boundary that would otherwise not receive Cape Fear RPO points according to the Project Scoring Criteria.”

# CFRPO Process

---

- Top two scoring highway projects in each RPO county will be eligible for 100 points each (600 points max).
- Top three scoring non-highway projects will be eligible for 100 points each, regardless of non-highway mode or location (300 points max).
- Remaining points cascade to next highest scoring projects, regardless of mode or locations, up to 100 points each.
- Final point assignments may be modified by the RTAC in accordance with #12 of the CFRPO Prioritization Process.



# CFRPO Process

---

- “The RTAC is free to modify final point assignments to provide local oversight to the data-driven process, to compensate for any peculiar scores where the prioritization methodology fails to operate as expected, and to ensure appropriate projects at the relevant tier. Any variation in point assignments from the preliminary point assignments must have justifications documented in the meeting minutes. Anticipated justifications include: project cost, point sharing arrangements, estimated points required for funding, geographic equity, modal distribution, new information, methodology failures, and public comment.”

# Questions?

---



Allen Serkin, AICP, CZO

Local Government Services Director

Cape Fear Council of Governments

(910) 395-4553

[aserkin@capefearcog.org](mailto:aserkin@capefearcog.org)